

Offshore Wind Accelerator – Guidelines for Scanning LiDARs for Power Curve Testing

Response to clarification questions

	Question	Response
1)	To what extent will a guarantee be made that the results of the project (particularly the recommended practices) be made public?	The technical working group see value in an agreed industry consensus and approach, and therefore that the results are made available to the public/industry. However, this is entirely at the discretion of the technical working group and the steering committee, and therefore no guarantee can be made that the results would be made public.
2)	Does the availability of longer range nacelle lidar (e.g. Leosphere’s WindCube Nacelle with 700m range) impair the value of this project?	The project is still expected to be of value to the OWA partners despite the announcement of the WindCube Nacelle with 700m range.
3)	Who are the stake holders, and to what extent will we engage with them?	The stakeholders vary from task to task, it is anticipated that during the initial market/literature review there will be engagement with OEMs, power curve testers, TWG. It is anticipated there may be some engagement with stakeholders through any dissemination activity. How stakeholders will be engaged, who they are and when will be expected to be detailed within the bid.
4)	Will there be external project advisors, in the TWG? (if so, who are they?)	There will be no external project advisors, the TWG consist of members from the following developers: Orsted, Vattenfall, Equinor, RWE, Scottish Power Renewables, SSE Renewables, Shell, and EnBW
5)	Should the scanning lidar market review include information on pricing?	Specific information on pricing isn’t necessary, ranges could be provided if thought to be of significance to the project. Ie. A major limiting factor to their use and acceptance.
6)	Does the project scope extend into floating offshore wind turbine specific considerations?	This work focusses solely on fixed bottom offshore wind.

7)	What is the "OWA Cost Model"?	The OWA cost model is an in-house tool used to monitor and report the financial implications of the work undertaken within the project. This may be in the form of a percentage saving on a particular component or activity.
8)	What is "Annex A" (mentioned in section 6.2 in the tender invitation document)	Apologies, this should reference section 4, there is no 'Annex A'
9)	What is the expected project duration? Section 4.5 (in the tender invitation) refers to 9-11 months, whereas section 6.3 refers to 7-9m.	The duration is anticipated to be 9-11 months, please ignore the quoted duration in section 6.3.
10)	In light of Covid-19, does Carbon Trust envisage that any meetings will be held in-person in London, or will all meetings be held remotely via Teams (or similar)?	Under current circumstances we are happy for all meetings to be held remotely, ideally via MS Teams.
12)	In Section 7 in the ITT the "Description (Projects of a similar nature)" in the table under "Criterion 2: Experience (Weighting: 35%)" do not appear to be relevant to the scope of work. Can you please clarify?	Please ignore the stated relevant projects, it is at the discretion of the bidder as to what they deem to be relevant experience and past projects.